

Overview & Scrutiny SUB-COMMITTEE

DATE 18 November 2014

REVIEW OF Capital Expenditure Challenge

31 October 2014

1	SUBJECT	The Capital Funding Challenge
2	COMMITTEE	O&S Sub-Committee
3	REVIEW GROUP	Councillors Cllr Barry Macleod-Cullinane (C) Cllr Manji Kara (C) Cllr Marilyn Ashton (C) Cllr Vina Mithani (C) Cllr Barry Kendler (Chair) (L) Cllr Jeff Anderson (L) Cllr Nitin Parekh (L) Cllr Phillip O'Dell (L) Co-optees
4	AIMS/ OBJECTIVES/ OUTCOMES	 To identify the key reasons for recurrent General Fund underspending. To review the management of the Council's capital programme (General Fund and HRA). To identify proposals designed to improve the management of the Council's capital programme. To examine the capital programme's funding criteria. To understand the impact that capital underspending has upon the council's and its partners' services in terms of delivery and performance. To understand the financial implications of capital underspends.
5	MEASURES OF SUCCESS OF REVIEW	 Understand the reasons for capital programme underspend. Develop proposals to achieve a reduction in underspend of the capital programme ensuring that the capital programme is realistically profiled and spent to within 5% -10% of profile. To identify improvement in the management of the Council's capital programme. To track the financial and performance implications of Capital budget underspending on the Revenue budget.
6	SCOPE	The challenge panel will investigate the way that the Council

		manages its capital programme (covering both General Fund & HRA). It will identify the reasons for underspending and recommend proposals to improve its management.
7	SERVICE PRIORITIES (Corporate/Dept)	 Making a difference for the most vulnerable Making a difference for communities Making a difference for families Making a difference for local businesses
8	REVIEW SPONSOR	Simon George
9	ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER	Rachel Gapp
10	SUPPORT OFFICER	Stella Agunabor Policy Officer
11	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	Business Support Service
12	EXTERNAL INPUT	 PMO Project Managers of amber & red projects Portfolio Holder The Director of Finance and Assurance The Capital Forum
13	METHODOLOGY	The Challenge Panel will involve three phases. A desk-based research phase which will look at written material and responses from other authorities, evidence will be taken from interested bodies and officer networks and any partners. The information from this phase will inform the structure and lines of questioning for the Challenge Panel. At the Challenge Panel, evidence will be taken from key officers, managers and the Portfolio Holder. The report and recommendations will be written-up and submitted to Cabinet.
14	EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS	The review will consider, during the course of its work, how equality implications have been taken into account in current policy and practice and consider the possible implications of any changes it recommends.
15	ASSUMPTIONS/ CONSTRAINTS	The success of the challenge panel will be dependent on the ability and willingness of officers, partners and stakeholders to participate and contribute fully in this work.

16	SECTION 17 IMPLICATIONS	1) Agree panel members and draft scope virtually – late October 2) O&S 18 th November – agree scope 3) Capital Challenge Panel - January 4) O&S agree report & forward to cabinet - 24 th February 5) Cabinet review report –19 th March 6) Cabinet respond to report – 23 rd April
17	TIMESCALE	October 2014 – April 2015
18	RESOURCE COMMITMENTS	Project costs will be met from the existing scrutiny resources
19	REPORT AUTHOR	Stella Agunabor, in consultation with Challenge Panel members.
20	REPORTING ARRANGEMENTS	Outline of formal reporting process: To Service Director [] When To Portfolio Holder [] When To O & S [x] When To Cabinet [x] When
21	FOLLOW UP ARRANGEMENTS (proposals)	Cabinet will respond to any recommendations made at the Cabinet meeting in April. Any agreement reached could be implemented in the 2015/16 financial year.